

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION | NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/005,70 |)2 | 12/05/2001 | Jurgen Heinz Fabian | F7571(V) | 7656 |
| 201 | 7590 | 08/13/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| UNILE PATEN | EVER IT DEPART | MENT | | PADEN, CAROLYN A | |
| | 45 RIVER ROAD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| EDGEV | EDGEWATER, NJ 07020 | | | 1761 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/005,702 | FABIAN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Carolyn A Paden | 1761 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application and indication of the contraction | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | in the period set forth in fithe appeal. | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | • | see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | · · | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mater | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consideration Sheet. | dered but does NOT place the | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below | ☑ will be entered and an w or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 9,10,12,14 and 15. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,11 and 13</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro | oved or b) disapproved by th | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | | | | | | |
| | CAROLYN F PRIMARY EX GROUP-1 | Pacle—PADEN 8-11-04 AMINER 1300-1761 | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The extent of biopolymer in the product is an optional feature. Also xanthan gum is a biopolymer which is utilized in the amount set forth in the claims..

Continuation of 10. Other: The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112 has been dropped..

CAROLYN PADEN 8-11-04 PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1900 176/